### E1 excitations in atomic nuclei: From Giants, Pygmies and Octupoles



#### Andreas Zilges Institut für Kernphysik Universität zu Köln

#### **MAGNETIC** and **ELECTRIC** dipole excitations





Isovector Magnetic Scissors Mode (A. Richter, 1984) Isovector Electric Giant Dipole Resonance (W. Bothe and W. Gentner, 1937)

#### **Proton-Neutron Symmetry Breaking**

#### **Electric dipole response in Ca isotopes**



T. Hartmann et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000) 274

#### Half life: M1 vs. E1 at $E_x = 3 \text{ MeV}$

#### **Typical strengths for dipole excitations at 3 MeV:**

B(M1)  $\uparrow \approx 1\mu_N^2 \approx 100 \text{ meV} \approx 5 \text{ fs half - life}$ 

B(E1)  $\uparrow \approx 10^{-2} e^2 fm^2 \approx 100 \text{ meV} \approx 5 \text{ fs half - life}$ 

# E1 excitations in atomic nuclei: From Giants, Pygmies and Octupoles

- Overview
- Studies of the Pygmy Dipole Resonance
  - completeness of  $(\gamma, \gamma')$  measurements
  - systematics
  - structure
- Octupole Modes

# The E1 response of spherical atomic nuclei



- Two Phonon Excitation:  $E_x \sim 3$  MeV, B(E1)  $\sim 10^{-2}$  W.u.
- Giant Dipole Resonance:  $E_x \sim 18$  MeV, B(E1) ~ 10 W.u.
- Pygmy Dipole Resonance:  $E_x \sim 7$  MeV, B(E1)  $\sim 10^{-1}$  W.u.

## The E1 response of deformed atomic nuclei



- Octupole vibrational bandheads:
   E<sub>x</sub> ~ 2 MeV, B(E1) ~ 10<sup>-2</sup> W.u.
- Splitted Giant Dipole Resonance:
   E<sub>x</sub> ~ 13 MeV and 18 MeV, B(E1) ~ 10 W.u.

# E1 response in spherical nuclei studied in photon scattering experiments



#### E1 distribution in the N=82 isotones from ( $\gamma$ , $\gamma$ ')



## **Open questions on the Pygmy Dipole Resonance**

- How complete are photon scattering experiments?
- Does the PDR show a N/Z dependence?
- What is the underlying excitation structure?
- What is the connection to the PDR in exotic nuclei?

## <sup>136</sup>Xe: Experimental fragmentation



#### **Fragmentation in the Quasiparticle Phonon Model**



- B(E1) nearly completely carried by 1ph part
- Coupling to complex configuration produces fragmentation
- 1ph, 2ph, 3ph up to 8.5 MeV
  - ⇒ Model space nearly complete up to 8.5 MeV

V. Yu. Ponomarev

#### N=82 isotones: Experiment vs. QPM



S. Volz et al., Nucl. Phys. A779 (2006) 1

V. Yu. Ponomarev

## <sup>136</sup>Xe: Experiment vs. QPM



D. Savran et al., PRL 100 (2008) 232501

# <sup>136</sup>Xe: Experimental fragmentation



#### <sup>136</sup>Xe: Experiment vs. QPM



# How complete are photon scattering experiments ?



- Increasing fragmentation from <sup>136</sup>Xe to <sup>144</sup>Sm in experiment and QPM
- Impact of experimental sensitivity limit more important with increasing proton number
- Missing strengths can vary from a few percent to a factor of three

D. Savran et al., PRL 100 (2008) 232501

## **Open questions concerning the PDR**

- How complete are photon scattering experiments?
  - → Depending on the nucleus 10% to 300% of the total strength are missing.
- Does the PDR show a N/Z dependence?

#### Summed E1 strength vs. N/Z ratio



#### Summed E1 strength vs. N/Z ratio



## **Open questions concerning the PDR**

- How complete are photon scattering experiments?
  - → Depending on the nucleus 10% to 250% of the total strength are missing.
- Does the PDR show a N/Z dependence?
   → No direct evidence.
- What is the underlying excitation structure?

#### **Exhaustion of isovector E1 sum rule**



K. Govaert et al., Phys. Rev. C 57 (1998) 2229

- N. Ryezayeva et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 272502
- A. Zilges et al., Phys. Lett. B 542 (2002) 43
- P. Adrich et al., Phys. Rev. Lett 95 (2005) 132501
- A. Tonchev et al., NIM B 241 (2005) 170
- S. Volz et al., Nucl. Phys. A779 (2006) 1

- G. Rusev et al., Phys. Rev. C 73 (2006) 044308
- U. Kneissl et al., JPG 32 (2006) R217
- D. Savran et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 (2006) 172502
- D. Savran et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 232501
- R. Schwengner et al., Phys. Rev. C 89 (2008) 064314
- N. Benouaret et al., Phys. Rev C 79 (2009) 014303
- O. Wieland et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 092502

## What is the underlying excitation structure?



J. Chambers, E. Zaremba, J.P. Adams, B. Castel, Phys. Rev. C 50 (1994) R2671
N. Ryezayeva et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 272502
D. Sarchi, P.F. Bortignon, G. Colò, Phys. Lett. B 601 (2004) 27
S. Goriely, E. Khan, M. Samyn, Nucl. Phys. A739 (2004) 331
N. Paar, T. Niksic, D. Vretenar, P. Ring, Phys. Lett. B 606 (2005) 288
J. Terasaki, J. Engel, Phys. Rev. C 76 (2007) 044320
J. Liang, L. Cao, Z. Ma, Phys. Rev. C 75 (2007) 054320
V. Tselyaev, J. Speth et al., Phys. Rev. C 75 (2007) 014315
G. Tertychny, V. Tselyaev, S. Kamerdzhiev et al., Phys. Lett. B 647 (2007) 104
N. Paar, D. Vretenar, E. Khan, G. Colò, Rep. Prog. Phys. 70 (2007) 691
N. Tsoneva, H. Lenske, Phys. Rev. C 77 (2008) 024321
N. Paar, Y.F. Niu, D. Vretenar, and J. Meng, PRL 103 (2009) 032502

# **B(E1)** strength distribution



J. Endres, to be published

# A splitting of the PDR ?



F. lachello, priv. comm.

#### A complementary probe: $\alpha$ scattering

- Isoscalar probe
  - → Complementary structure information
- Problem:
  - 30-100 keV energy resolution
    - → Single excitations not resolved
- Excitation of higher multipolarities
  - → Difficult separation from other excitations

 $\Rightarrow No detailed spectroscopy of$  $PDR possible with (<math>\alpha, \alpha'$ )

#### The solution: $(\alpha, \alpha' \gamma)$ experiments

- Coincident measurement of γ-decay
   ⇒ (α,α'γ)
- Selection of decays to the ground state
   ⇒ Selectivity to E1 decays
   T.D. Poelhekken et al., Phys. Lett. B 278 (1992) 423
- Use of HPGe detectors
   ⇒ High energy resolution
   D. Savran et al., Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 564 (2006) 267
- Experimental parameters:  $\Rightarrow E_{\alpha} = 136 \text{ MeV}$  and forward angle

## **Realization at the BBS/EUROSUPERNOVA setup**



D. Savran et al., Nucl. Inst. and Meth. Phys. Res. A 564 (2006) 267

# Realization at the BBS/EUROSUPERNOVA setup



# Realization at the BBS/EUROSUPERNOVA setup



#### The $\alpha - \gamma$ coincidence matrix for <sup>140</sup>Ce



D. Savran et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 (2006) 172502 J. Endres et al., Phys. Rev. C 80 (2009) 034302

#### **Angular distribution**



J. Endres et al., Phys. Rev. C 80 (2009) 034302

# **Comparison:** $(\alpha, \alpha' \gamma)$ and $(\gamma, \gamma')$



J. Endres et al., Phys. Rev. C 80 (2009) 034302

## **Comparison:** ( $\alpha$ , $\alpha$ ' $\gamma$ ) and ( $\gamma$ , $\gamma$ ')



J. Endres et al., Phys. Rev. C 80 (2009) 034302

## A splitting of the PDR ?



# A splitting of the PDR !



- Splitting of the PDR:
  - Two groups of states with different structure
- Two different probes:
  - Isospin character
  - Interaction with nucleus

J. Endres et al., to be published

#### E1 strength in the relativistic QRPA



N. Paar, Y.F. Niu, D. Vretenar, and J. Meng, PRL 103 (2009) 032502

#### E1 strength in the relativistic QRPA



N. Paar, Y.F. Niu, D. Vretenar, and J. Meng, PRL 103 (2009) 032502

# **Open questions concerning the PDR**

- How complete are photon scattering experiments?
  - → Depending on the nucleus 10% to 300% of the total strength are missing.
- Does the PDR show a strong N/Z dependence?
   → No direct evidence.
- What is the underlying excitation structure?

→ An isoscalar surface excitation at low energies plus an isovector part at higher energies.

• What is the connection to the PDR in exotic nuclei?

## PDR in neutron rich Sn isotopes observed in ( $\gamma$ ,n)



P. Adrich et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 (2005) 132501

#### PDR in neutron rich <sup>68</sup>Ni observed in $\gamma$ decay



O. Wieland et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102 (2009) 092502

# **Open questions concerning the PDR**

- How complete are photon scattering experiments?
  - → Depending on the nucleus 10% to 250% of the total strength are missing.
- Does the PDR show a strong N/Z dependence?
   → No direct evidence.
- What is the underlying excitation structure?

→ An isoscalar surface excitation at low energies plus an isovector part at higher energies.

• What is the connection to the PDR in exotic nuclei?

## The E1 response of <u>deformed</u> atomic nuclei



Do we understand the octupole structures ?

## **Open questions concerning octupole structures**

- What is the systematics of octupole excitations concerning energies, strengths, branching ratios?
- What is the influence of the K quantum number?
- How do the excitations evolve in a shape transition from spherical to well deformed?
- Are octupole excitations enhanced in exotic nuclei?

One needs <u>selective</u> and <u>sensitive</u> experiments yielding as much observables as possible!

## An ideal setup for such experiments

HORUS array at University of Cologne: • 14 HPGe detectors (in close geometry) • Photopeak efficiency at 1332 keV: up to 2%



- adequate efficiency
- high energy resolution
- angular resolution
- auxillary particle detectors
- coincidence techniques
- robust ion beam

#### (d,d' $\gamma$ ) experiments on <sup>172</sup>Yb



#### E1 excitations in atomic nuclei: From Giants, Pygmies and Octupoles



#### Not only spin-isospin excitations are interesting...

#### E1 excitations in atomic nuclei: From Giants, Pygmies and Octupoles

P. Butler, <u>M. Elvers</u>, <u>J. Endres</u>, M.N. Harakeh, S. Harissopoulos, J. Hasper, R.-D. Herzberg, R. Krücken, A. Lagoyannis, N. Pietralla, V. Yu. Ponomarev, <u>D. Savran</u>, M. Scheck, K. Sonnabend, H.J. Wörtche, and A. Z.

> Institut für Kernphysik, Universität zu Köln Institut für Kernphysik, TU Darmstadt KVI, University of Groningen Department of Physics, University of Liverpool, Physik-Department E12, TU München, I.N.P. NSCR Demokritos, Athens

Supported by the DFG (ZI 510/4-1 and SFB 634), HIC for FAIR and EURONS